

EVALUATION SUMMARY

Western Section AUA
 Sunday, October 25, 2009 - 7:30am - 9:30am
 Poster Session I: Prostate

	Scale: = POOR / ADEQUATE / EXCELLENT				
	1	2	3	4	5
PROGRAM OBJECTIVES	Total Pts	# Returned	Total Ave Score		
Appraise outcomes after radical prostatectomy and radiation therapy.	606	136	4.46		
Assess factors affecting quality of life after treatment of prostate cancer.	608	136	4.47		
Summarize the causes of mortality associated with androgen deprivation in the treatment of prostate cancer.	605	136	4.45		

OVERALL EVALUATION

Increased My Awareness/Understanding of the Subject	609	136	4.48		
Will Influence How I Practice	565	136	4.15		
Will Help Me Improve Patient Care	586	136	4.31		
Was Educationally Sound and Scientifically Balanced	610	136	4.49		
Avoided Commercial Bias or Influence	622	136	4.57		

Points that will influence the way I will practice:

Beware androgen deprivation therapy.

Will change way I monitor.

QOL measures.

Will give more accurate/updated info to patients during pre-op process/pt. education. Re: prostatectomy/& post-prostatectomy incontinence.

Impact of androgen deprivation on all cause mortality for prostate cancer treatment.

Quality of life after Robotic PRD>

Robotics may be used in patients.

Davinci radical prostatectomy possible after prior pelvic surgery.

Robotic surgery can be performed by parting away from previous absenic sites.

Limited changes to medical treatment.

Better understanding of diagnostic critique for CAT review following RAP prostatectomy and RT.

Robotic prostatectomy can be performed in a variety of settings.

Will consider long term hormonal prostate cancer therapy.

Thorough pre-ADT conversation re: risks.

Patient management of prevention of hormone osteoporosis.

Be more attentive to QOL in cancer patients.

Risk stratification of prostate cancer patients.

Moderators: Dr. Greg Thibault & Dr. Christopher Kane					
The moderators guided and enhanced this session.	614	136	4.51		

Comments about moderators:

Good summaries.

Excellent and succinct!

Excellent and neutral pace.

Comments about moderators: (Continued)

Well moderated - kept things moving.

Very good.

Well done, balanced discussion.

Excellent.

Asked very appropriate questions.

Good.

Good education of content.

Overall good.

Excellent job, on time.

Excellent

Great job.

Excellent.

Excellent.

Dr. Kane & Thibault did a great job.

Good job.

Fair, balanced, knowledgeable.

Excellent.

Insightful and able to guide to audience in potential pitfalls of each study.

Knowledgeable.

Not timid, but left some good questions unasked.

Good management. Very timely.

Comments about speakers/course content:

Appropriate and useful.

Allow 2-3 Slides per talk.

Well Presented.

Very good.

Great.

Excellent.

Organized; content very useful in preeducation.

Good.

Short concise speakers.

Overall good.

Well done.

Need more audience participation.

Good variety.

Over all well done. Hard to read some of the slides.

Excellent.

Recommended topics for future meetings:

Cryoablation and outcomes.

Would like prizes to go to those that are members of the section. Tons of great work.

Good context in robotics.

Cost consideration of health care relative to technological advancements - robotic surgery as compared to traditional surgery and treatment especially in communities of 10-30,000 or over that cannot afford robotics.

Same - important topic.

Racial differences in management and tumor behavior.