

EVALUATION SUMMARY

Western Section AUA

Wednesday, Oct. 29, 2008, 1:45pm - 3:15pm

Prostate I

	Scale: = POOR / ADEQUATE / EXCELLENT				
	1	2	3	4	5
PROGRAM OBJECTIVES	Total Pts	# Returned	Total Ave Score		
Summarize current status of screening for prostate cancers, including the development of novel genomic markers and diagnostic strategies.	707	160	4.42		
Utilize appropriate markers and strategies valuable in risk assessment of patients with localized prostate cancer.	699	160	4.37		

OVERALL EVALUATION

Increased Awareness of Subject	713	160	4.46
Will Influence How I Practice	614	160	3.84
Helped Me Improve Patient Care	641	160	4.01
Was Educationally Sound and Scientifically Balanced	725	160	4.53
Avoided Commercial Bias or Influence	718	160	4.49

Points that will influence the way I will practice

I need to make better use of PCA3 in my practice.

I am very aggressive with prostate cancer.

I just don't think that breast cancer and prostate cancer need to be compared.

Use risk assessment data to see prognosis.

A new 3-D prostate ultrasound scanner is available.

Role of Genome.

Surveillance needs tighter criteria.

Low accuracy of predicting unilateral diagnosis.

No reason to change current practice.

Use computer program for risk staging.

Help me discuss options with patients.

PCA3 is interesting - will follow.

Need tighter criteria for watchful waiting in PrCa.

New markers for PCA not yet available.

Stratify patients appropriate for surveillance.

Management of Pca recurrence.

Earlier referral to oncologist.

Targeted markers not yet helpful.

I will stratify risk in patients with prostate cancer differently.

Offer surveillance according to criteria discussed with caveat that 30% risk of progression.

Watch for genitus to play a more significant role in years to come.

Can now objectively inform patients who want focal cryotherapy.

Better able to counsel patients on ww/active surveillance protocols.

Colin Colins, Ph.D. - State of the Art

The presenter demonstrated current knowledge of the topic.	746	160	4.66
--	-----	-----	------

Moderators

The moderators guided and enhanced this session.	694	160	4.34
--	-----	-----	------

Comments about moderators:

Need to stay on time.

Great talk but difficult topic to get across.

Presentation outstanding.

Dr. Presti is excellent.

Did well - punctual.

Good questions.

Excellent.

Good questions.

Excellent.

Comments about speakers/course content:

Talk was very interesting but very advanced!

Great.

His talk was way over our heads - needed more lead in background into.

#186 was simply an ad for Artemis.

Good presentations.

Very good.

Tumor markers could have been better explained.

Great genouisis research paper.